19th October 1891.

Subjects Nos. 136 to 140 were introduced by Mr. Karodi Subba Rao, B. A., (R. M. from the Tirthahalli Taluk), Nos. 141 and 142 by Mr. Talagur Ramaiya, (R. M. from the Shikarpur Taluk and Municipality), Nos. 143 to 145 by Mr Javali Gurubasappa (R. M. from the Kumsi Sub-Taluk), No. 146 by Mr. Byalappa Shetti (R. M. from Sorab Municipality), Nos. 147 to 152 by Messrs. Shanbhog Nadig Subba Rao, Lingappa and Basappa Gauda, (R. Ms. from the Nagar Taluk), Nos. 153 to 156 by Mr. Pleader Srinivasaiengar, (R. M. from Hassan Taluk), Nos. 157 to 165 by Mr. Pleader Ramanna (R. M. from Hole-Narsipur Taluk), Nos. 166 to 171 by Mr. Sahukar Bharmanna, (R. M. from Channarayapatna Taluk), Nos. 172 to 178 by Mr. Arkalgud Venkatakrishna Setti, (R. M. from Arkalgud Taluk), Nos. 179 to 181 by Mr. Gulam Nabi Sahib (R. M. from Belur Taluk), Nos. 182 to 190 by Mr. Malali Kalappa, (R. M. from Manjarabad Taluk, Hassan District). Nos. 191 to 198 by Mr. Seshabhatra Ramanna, (R. M. from Tumkur Taluk), Nos. 199 and 200 by Mr. Tiruvengada Mudaliar (Pensioned Amildar, R. M. from Tumkur Municipality), Nos. 201 to 204 by Mr. Vembar Srinivasa Tatachar, (R. M. Pavagada Taluk), No. 205 by Vakil Venkatchar, (R. M. from Kadaba Municipality), Nos. 206 to 209 by Mr. Chikkannashetti, (R. M. from Huliyurdurga Municipality), Nos. 210 to 212 by Mr. Seshanna, (R. M. from Goribidnur Taluk), Nos. 213 and 214 by Mr. Sugatur Venkatramanaiya, (R. M. from Sidlaghatta Taluk), Nos. 215 to 219 by Mr. Patel Basavegauda, (R. M. from Bowringpet Taluk), Nos. 220 and 221 by Mr. Mysore Venkatasamaiya, (R. M. from Mulbagal Taluk), Nos. 222 to 230 by Mr. Ramachendrappa, (R. M. from Bagepalli Taluk), Nos. 231 to 233 by Mr. Venkatramanappa, (R. M. from Malur Taluk), No. 234 by Mr. Narayanareddi, (R. M. from Chikballapur Taluk). Subjects Nos. 235 to 241 were introduced by Advocate Mr. D. Venkataramaiya, (R. M. from Bangalore City), Nos. 242 to 245 by Mr. Advocate Sambasiva Iyer, (R. M. from Bangalore City Municipality), Nos. 246 to 248 by Mr. Ramaswamaiengar, (R. M. from Bangalore Taluk), Nos. 249 and 250 by Mr. Nandagudi Ramanna, (R. M. from Hoskote Taluk), No. 251 by Mr. B. Shama Rao, (R. M. from Hoskote Municipality), No. 252 by Mr. Kudur Bommalinganna, (R. M. from Bangalore City), Nos. 253 to 266 by Mr. Balepur Venkataramaiya, (R. M. from Devanhalli Taluk), and Nos. 267 to 269 by Mr. Mastan Sab, (R. M. from Channapatna Municipality).

Subject No. 136. Excess in Malnad Minor Inams.

Summary of discussion.—When the subject of excess of areas within the Linga and Vamanamuddre stones fixed as boundary marks was urged the previous day, the Dewan was pleased to observe that these stones as boundary marks were not reliable. If this were so, the Inam and Survey

Departments having as a matter of fact booked excess areas in almost every case of Minor Inam, it would follow that in every one of these cases there has been encroachment on Government land adjoining the lands originally granted as Inam. Is this likely? Evidently not and therefore an inquiry on the subject is desirable. Besides booking excess areas, the Inam Department have also resumed what used to be previously distinguished in the accounts and allowed for as "Nashta" ("loss") from "Sagoo" (cultivation). Where areas in occupation and enjoyment were less than the recorded areas, the difference has not been made good. This is unjust and one-sided and calls for redress.

Dewan's reply.—The rules are clear and based on equitable principles. If they have wrought injustice in any case, it probably is a consequence of a misapplication of the rules. Represent in detail by petition a few typical cases on which the complaint is based.

Subject No. 137.

Timber for construction of houses by nonresident agriculturists.

Summary of discussion.—We hear that an order has been recently issued by the Depnty Commissioner not to grant wood on favorable terms to raiyats holding lands in villages but residing at the Head-quarters of Taluks. This should be withdrawn.

Note.—The Deputy Commissioner explained that he understood the representation to refer to the existing practice of raiyats who hold lands in villages obtaining bamboo and timber in order to repair or construct houses in Municipal towns where they live, and added that the point appears to have been once before raised and has to be considered after the necessary information is received. No interdictory order, he added, had been issued as stated in the representation. He understood that Notification No. 148, dated 26th October 1888, did not contemplate the allowance of bamboo or timber for the construction of large and valuable houses in Municipal towns. Amildars in Taluks, except Honnali and Channagiri, appear to have freely issued licenses to the towns-people under the Notification.

Dewan's reply.—The Inspector General of Forests will be asked to investigate and report upon this point.

Subject No. 138.

Ten feet margin behind Malnad Tanks.

Summary of discussion.—The order directing that a margin of 10 feet of land below tanks should be left unploughed should not be extended to this (the Tirthahalli) Taluk, as most of the tanks in the Taluk are very small and mere hondas constructed and kept up by raiyats themselves. The case of these so called tanks is quite different from tanks in the Maidan.

Dewan's reply.—It is understood that orders on this point were recently issued in the Public Works Department. The subject will be looked into and needful orders given.